

Appendix 21: Frequency and Percentage Tables for Questionnaire results of Finds Liaison

Officer survey

Question 3a: How long have you worked for the PAS in your current position?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 0-6 months	2	9.5	9.5	9.5
1-2 years	2	9.5	9.5	19.0
2-3 years	8	38.1	38.1	57.1
Over 3 years	9	42.9	42.9	100.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Question 3b: How long have you worked for the PAS in total?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 0-6 months	1	4.8	4.8	4.8
1-2 years	3	14.3	14.3	19.0
2-3 years	6	28.6	28.6	47.6
Over 3 years	11	52.4	52.4	100.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Question 4: Number of known metal detecting clubs in your area

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	1	4.8	4.8	4.8
2	1	4.8	4.8	9.5
4	4	19.0	19.0	28.6
5	3	14.3	14.3	42.9
6	3	14.3	14.3	57.1
7	4	19.0	19.0	76.2
8	1	4.8	4.8	81.0
9	1	4.8	4.8	85.7
11	1	4.8	4.8	90.5
12	2	9.5	9.5	100.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Question 4a: Number of clubs who responded to contact made by a FLO (you or a predecessor)

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 1	1	4.8	4.8	4.8
2	1	4.8	4.8	9.5
3	2	9.5	9.5	19.0
4	5	23.8	23.8	42.9
5	3	14.3	14.3	57.1
6	2	9.5	9.5	66.7
7	2	9.5	9.5	76.2
8	2	9.5	9.5	85.7
9	1	4.8	4.8	90.5
11	1	4.8	4.8	95.2
12	1	4.8	4.8	100.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Estimate?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	2	9.5	9.5	9.5
No	19	90.5	90.5	100.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Question 4b: Number of clubs who made contact themselves with PAS without initial communication from a FLO

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid 0	10	47.6	55.6	55.6
1	4	19.0	22.2	77.8
2	3	14.3	16.7	94.4
3	1	4.8	5.6	100.0
Total	18	85.7	100.0	
Missing System	3	14.3		
Total	21	100.0		

Estimate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	3	14.3	16.7	16.7
	No	15	71.4	83.3	100.0
	Total	18	85.7	100.0	
Missing	System	3	14.3		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 4c: Number of clubs who have been invited to work with PAS but have declined

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	17	81.0	81.0	81.0
	1	3	14.3	14.3	95.2
	5	1	4.8	4.8	100.0
	Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Estimate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	9.5	10.0	10.0
	No	18	85.7	90.0	100.0
	Total	20	95.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1	4.8		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 4d: Number of metal detecting clubs that have worked with PAS

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	4.8	5.9	5.9
	2	1	4.8	5.9	11.8
	3	1	4.8	5.9	17.6
	4	4	19.0	23.5	41.2
	5	3	14.3	17.6	58.8
	6	2	9.5	11.8	70.6
	7	1	4.8	5.9	76.5
	8	1	4.8	5.9	82.4
	9	1	4.8	5.9	88.2
	11	1	4.8	5.9	94.1
	12	1	4.8	5.9	100.0
	Total	17	81.0	100.0	
Missing	System	4	19.0		
Total		21	100.0		

Estimate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	9.5	12.5	12.5
	No	14	66.7	87.5	100.0
	Total	16	76.2	100.0	
Missing	System	5	23.8		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 4e: Number of clubs that have not been contacted by PAS (and any reasons why)

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	19	90.5	95.0	95.0
	4	1	4.8	5.0	100.0
	Total	20	95.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1	4.8		
Total		21	100.0		

Estimate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	2	9.5	10.0	10.0
	No	18	85.7	90.0	100.0
	Total	20	95.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1	4.8		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 5: Number of individual metal-detector users (i.e. non-club members) who you see per annum.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	1	4.8	5.3	5.3
	10	2	9.5	10.5	15.8
	15	3	14.3	15.8	31.6
	20	5	23.8	26.3	57.9
	25	1	4.8	5.3	63.2
	40	1	4.8	5.3	68.4
	45	1	4.8	5.3	73.7
	50	2	9.5	10.5	84.2
	75	1	4.8	5.3	89.5
	100	1	4.8	5.3	94.7
	350	1	4.8	5.3	100.0
	Total	19	90.5	100.0	
	Missing	System	2	9.5	
Total		21	100.0		

Estimate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	17	81.0	89.5	89.5
	No	2	9.5	10.5	100.0
	Total	19	90.5	100.0	
Missing	System	2	9.5		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 6: Number of metal-detector users known to you who have contacts with other archaeologists/heritage specialists e.g. community archaeologist or museum staff, but no direct contact with PAS.

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	0	11	52.4	57.9	57.9
	1	2	9.5	10.5	68.4
	3	2	9.5	10.5	78.9
	4	1	4.8	5.3	84.2
	6	1	4.8	5.3	89.5
	10	1	4.8	5.3	94.7
	30	1	4.8	5.3	100.0
	Total	19	90.5	100.0	
Missing	System	2	9.5		
Total		21	100.0		

Estimate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	12	57.1	60.0	60.0
	No	8	38.1	40.0	100.0
	Total	20	95.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1	4.8		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 7: Would you say that there has been an increase or decrease of metal-detector user involvement with PAS in your region in the past three years?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Increase	15	71.4	71.4	71.4
	Decrease	2	9.5	9.5	81.0
	Neither	4	19.0	19.0	100.0
	Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Question 8: Are you aware of any serious incidents of nighthawking in your region?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid Yes	14	66.7	66.7	66.7
No	7	33.3	33.3	100.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Question 8a: If yes, how many incidents in the past 12 months?

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	5	23.8	23.8	23.8
0	1	4.8	4.8	28.6
0.5	1	4.8	4.8	33.3
1	1	4.8	4.8	38.1
2	2	9.5	9.5	47.6
3	1	4.8	4.8	52.4
4, although the real figure is probably higher	1	4.8	4.8	57.1
5	1	4.8	4.8	61.9
About four major incidents and several small incidents	1	4.8	4.8	66.7
At least 3	1	4.8	4.8	71.4
I do not know specific incidents, only rumours and vague reports.	1	4.8	4.8	76.2
I often hear rumours that people are night-hawkers or that fields have been night-hawked but non of this can be substantiated. As far as I am aware there are no major sites in my area	1	4.8	4.8	81.0
Impossible to quantify, but anecdotal evidence suggests that it is widespread and regularly occurring.	1	4.8	4.8	85.7
Many rumours, but mainly unsubstantiated	1	4.8	4.8	90.5
Not serious but evidence of pits in two incidents last year	1	4.8	4.8	95.2
One - reported police	1	4.8	4.8	100.0
Total	21	100.0	100.0	

Estimate?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	11	52.4	84.6	84.6
	No	2	9.5	15.4	100.0
	Total	13	61.9	100.0	
Missing	System	8	38.1		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 8b: Are there any specific sites/areas that seem to be particularly vulnerable to nighthawking?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	13	61.9	65.0	65.0
	No	6	28.6	30.0	95.0
	Not sure	1	4.8	5.0	100.0
	Total	20	95.2	100.0	
Missing	System	1	4.8		
Total		21	100.0		

Question 8c: Have there been, to your knowledge, any convictions or attempted convictions for nighthawking in your region in the past three years?

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Yes	3	14.3	14.3	14.3
	No	14	66.7	66.7	81.0
	Not sure	4	19.0	19.0	100.0
	Total	21	100.0	100.0	